| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) PARAS LAW GROUP | TUD 00050 |
| , | 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 | ENDORSED FILED |
| 3 | Berkeley, CA 94710-2363 | San Francisco County Superior Court |
| 4 | Telephone: (510) 848-8880 | JAN 1 2 2006 |
| 5 | Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 | <u>.</u> = |
| 3 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: ERICKA LARNAUTI |
| 6 | RUSSELL BRIMER | BY: EHICKA LARNAU11 Deputy Clerk |
| 7 | Gary A. Meyer (State Bar No. 94144) Mary E. Henderson (State Bar No. 235256) | · |
| 8 | PARKER MILLIKEN CLARK O'HARA & SAM | MELIAN |
| _ | 333 S. Hope Street, 2/" Floor | |
| 9 | Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (213) 683-6683 | |
| 10 | Fax: (213) 683-6669 | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Defendant WELLS MANUFACTURING USA, INC. | |
| 12 | Jeffrey B. Margulies (State Bar No. 126002) | |
| 13 | Rachel D. Stanger (State Bar No. 200733) FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP | |
| 14 | 555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 | |
| 15 | Tel: (213) 892-9200 Fax: (213) 892-9494 | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Attorneys for Defendant MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY | |
| 18 | | |
| 10 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 19 | 1 | |
| 20 | FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO | - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION |
| 21 | | Case No. CGC 04-435221 |
| | RUSSELL BRIMER, | Case 140. CGC 04-433221 |
| 22 | Plaintiff, | IDDA ATTORNA |
| 23 | i iauttii, | [PROPOSED] ORDER PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND |
| ~4 | v. | URDER RE: CONSENT |
| 24 | WELLS MFG. USA INC.; WELLS MFG INC.; | JUDGMENT |
| 25 | KOBINSONS-MAY DEPARTMENT STORE | |
| 26 | THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY; and DOES 1 through 150, | Date: January 12, 2006 |
| 20 | com rait, and boes I mrough 150, | Time: 9:30 A.M. |
| 27 | Defendant. | Dept.: 301 |
| 28 | | Judge: Hon. James L. Warren |
| ~~ | | |

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants WELLS MANUFACTURING USA, INC., WELLS MANUFACTURING, INC. and THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY ("Defendants"), having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as **Exhibit A**; and after consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set out in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:

- The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);
- 2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and
- 3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 12, 2006

JAMES L. WARREN

Hon. James L. Warren
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

```
Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319)
 1
     PARAS LAW GROUP
 2
     655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216
     Mill Valley, CA 94941
 3
     Telephone:
                  (415) 380-9222
     Facsimile:
                  (415) 380-9223
 4
     Clifford A. Chanler (State Bar No. 135534)
     CHANLER LAW GROUP
 5
     71 Elm Street, Suite 8
     New Canaan, CT 06840
 6
     Telephone: (203) 966-9911
 7
     Facsimile:
                  (203) 801-5222
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
 8
     RUSSELL BRIMER
 9
     Gary A. Meyer (State Bar No. 094144)
     Mary E. Henderson (State Bar No. 235256)
10
     PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARÁ & SAMUELIAN
     A Professional Corporation
11
     333 South Hope St., 27th Floor
     Los Angeles, CA 90071-1488
12
     Telephone:
                  (213) 683-6500
     Facsimile:
                  (213) 683-6669
13
     Attorneys for Defendants
14
     WELLS MFG. USA INC. and WELLS MFG. INC.
15
     Jeffrey B. Margulies, (State Bar No. 126002).
     Rachel D. Stanger (Bar No. 200733)
16
     FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.
     555 S. Flower Street, 41st Floor
17
     Los Angeles, California 90071
     Telephone:
                  (213) 892-9200
18
     Facsimile:
                  (213) 892-9494
19
     Attorneys for Defendant
     THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY
20
21
                      SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
22
             CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
23
     RUSSELL BRIMER.
                                                    Case No.
                                                               CGC-04-435221
24
                        Plaintiff.
                                                    STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
25
            v.
                                                    ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
26
     WELLS MFG. USA INC.; et al.,
27
                        Defendants.
28
```

1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendants. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and defendants WELLS MFG. USA INC.; WELLS MFG. INC.; and THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY (hereafter "Defendants"), with Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Defendants each being a "Party."

- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in Northern California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California glassware with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface with materials that contain lead and/or cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Lead and cadmium shall be referred to herein as "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 Product Descriptions. The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined at Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on July 30, 2004, Brimer served Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Defendants and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that Defendants were in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that they manufactured, sold and/or distributed expose users in California to lead and/or cadmium.
- 1.6 Complaint. On October 5, 2004, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco against Defendants and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to one

or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Defendants.

- 1.7 No Admission. Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint and maintain that all products that they have manufactured, sold and/or distributed in California including the Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be, September 1, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PROPOSITION 65

2.1 WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION OBLIGATIONS

- (a) Required Warnings. After September 1, 2005, Defendants shall not transmit to any retailer to sell or offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemical unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) Exceptions. The warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 below shall not apply to:
 - (i) any Products manufactured before August 15, 2005, or

- Point-of-Sale Warnings. Defendants may execute their warning obligations through arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(i), 2.2(b)(ii),2.2(b)(iii), and 2.2(b)(iv).
- Point of Sale warnings may be provided through one or more signs posted at each point of sale or display of the Products that state:

25

26

27

WARNING:

The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING:

The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of glassware products sold in this store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.¹

OF

WARNING:

The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products sold in this store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

[List Each Product By Brand Name and Product Description]

(ii) In lieu of displaying warnings with the language set forth above in 2.2(b)(i), each defendant subject to this agreement who owns or operates one or more retail outlets in California may elect to combine any point-of-sale warning signs required under this Consent Judgment with any Proposition 65 warnings it provides for ceramic tableware (as defined in the Consent Judgment in Environmental Defense Fund v. Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc.) or lead crystal (as defined in the Consent Judgment in Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation v. T.J. Maxx), through use of the warning signs in the form shown in Exhibit B and C. If one or more of the Defendants elects to provide combined warnings through use of Exhibit B, then such Defendant shall place the Designated Symbol (the yellow triangle shown in Exhibit B) next to each display of the Products, ceramic tableware, and lead crystal for which a warning is to be given. If one or more of the Defendants elects to provide combined warnings through use of Exhibit C, then the Products for which the warning is to be given shall be identified by manufacturer and pattern in the warning sign, and the Designated Symbols need not be displayed.

¹ This formulation of the warning may only be used where the store sells only Products which are not Reformulated Products as defined in subsection 2.3 below.

If a Defendant elects to combine its Products, ceramic tableware, and lead crystal warnings under this subsection, display of warnings for ceramic tableware, leaded crystal and the Products in the manner set forth in this subsection shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 for all such products.

- (iii) A point of sale warning provided pursuant to subsection 2.2(b)(i) and 2.2 (b)(ii) shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize if not eliminate the chances that an overwarning situation will arise.
- (iv) Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by section 2.2(b) shall only be made following: (1) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiffs for the opportunity to comment; or (2) Court approval.
- (v) If Defendants Wells Mfg. USA Inc. or Wells Mfg. Inc. intend to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, they must (1) provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to any retailer to whom Defendants ship the Products for sale in California and (2) obtain the written consent of such retailer before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include a copy of this Consent Judgment and any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Defendants have obtained the consent of such retailer that it will provide warnings in the manner required by section 2.2(b) herein, Defendants shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if they have complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment and have proof that they transmitted the requisite warnings in the manner provided herein.
- (c) <u>Mail Order and Internet Sales Warnings</u> After September 1, 2005,

 Defendant The May Department Stores Company shall not sell or distribute any of the Products

 by mail order catalog or the Internet to California residents, unless warnings are provided as set

forth below. For Products that require a warning pursuant to this Consent Judgment and that are sold by the Defendant by mail order or from the Internet to California residents, a warning containing the language in subsection 2.2(a) shall be included, at Defendant's sole option, either:

(a) in the mail order catalog (if any) or on the website (if any) pursuant to subsection 2.2 (c)(ii); or (b) with the Product when it is shipped to an address in California pursuant to subsection 2.2 (c)(iii). Any warnings given in the mail order catalogs or on the website shall identify the *specific* Products to which the warning applies. If Defendant May Company elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then such warnings (at a location designated in subsection 2.2 (c) (i)) shall be included in any new galley prints of such catalogs sent to the printer at least ten (10) business days after notice of entry of this Consent Judgment is served on Defendant. Nothing in this subsection 2.2(c) shall require Defendant May Company to provide warnings for any Product ordered from a mail order catalog printed prior to the date notice of entry of this Consent Judgment is served on Defendant, or to modify any such mail order catalogs.

- (i) <u>Mail Order Catalog</u> The Warning Message shall be stated within the catalog, either (a) on the same page as any order form, or (b) on the same page as the price, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text, with the same language as that appearing in subsection 2.2 (a).
- containing the warning text, shall be displayed either (a) on the same page on which a Product is displayed, (b) on the same page as any order form for a Product, (c) on the same page as the price for any Product, (d) on one or more pages displayed to a purchaser over the Internet or via electronic mail during the checkout and order confirmation process for sale of a Product, or (e) in any manner such that is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase of a Product, including the same language as that appearing in subsection 2.2(a). If a link is used, it shall state "Warning information for California residents," and shall be of a size equal to the size of other links on the page.
 - (iii) Package Insert or Label Alternatively, a warning may be

provided with the Product when it is shipped directly to a consumer in California, by (a) product labeling pursuant to subsection 2.2(a) above, (b) inserting a card or slip of paper measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton, or (c) including the warning on the packing slip or customer invoice identifying the Product in lettering of the same size as the description of the Product. The warning shall include the language appearing in subsection 2.2(a) and shall inform the consumer immediately after the warning is provided that he or she may return the product for a full refund (including any and all shipping costs) within 30 days of receipt.

- (iv) Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by section 2.2(c) shall only be made following: (1) written approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (2) Court approval.
- 2.3 REFORMULATION STANDARDS: Products satisfying the conditions of section 2.3(a) and 2.3(b) are referred to as "Reformulated Products" and are defined as follows:
- (a) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C 927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area"), the Product must produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead and 8.0 ug of cadmium using a Ghost WipeTM test applied to all portions of the exterior surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100, such Product is a Reformulated Product; or
- (b) If the colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the Product do not extend into the top 20 millimeters of the ware Lip and Rim Area, the Product must only utilize decorating materials for all colored artwork, designs or markings containing six one-hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead and forty-eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.48%) cadmium by weight or less as measured by EPA Test Method 3050 at Defendants' option, either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using a sample size of the materials in question measuring approximately 50-100 mg and a test method of sufficient

> STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435221 sf-1835732

sensitivity to establish a limit of quantitation (as distinguished from detection) of less than 600 parts per million ("ppm"), such Product is a Reformulated Product.²

- (c) If the Product has artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface within Lip and Rim Area, it must utilize materials containing "no detectable lead or cadmium" for all colored artwork, designs or markings within Lip and Rim Area. For purposes of this subsection, "no detectable lead or cadmium" shall mean that neither lead nor cadmium is detected at a level above two one-hundredths of one percent (0.02%) for lead or eight one-hundredths of one percent (0.08%) for cadmium by weight, respectively, using EPA Test Method 3050b.
- 2.4 REFORMULATION COMMITMENT. By entering into this Stipulation and Consent Judgment, Defendants Wells Mfg. USA Inc. and Wells Mfg. Inc. hereby commit that as a continuing matter of corporate policy, they intend to undertake good faith efforts, taking into consideration Wells Defendants' operational and product licensing restrictions, to ensure that as many Products as reasonably possible shall qualify as Reformulated Products, with the commitment to reach 80% (eighty percent) or more Reformulated Products for Products manufactured, licensed, or offered for sale by Wells Defendants on or after July 1, 2006, and reasonably likely to be sold in California, and the commitment to make commercially reasonable efforts thereafter to reach 100% (one-hundred percent) Reformulated Products.
- 2.5 AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, Defendants Wells Mfg. USA Inc. and Wells Mfg. Inc. shall not be required to comply with the warning requirements set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and 2.2 hereof to the extent (and only during the period) that Wells Defendants employ fewer than ten full and part time employees.

² If the Product is a Children's Product, it must meet this reformulation standard to be considered a Reformulated Product. Children's Product is defined in this Consent Judgment to

mean: Any Product intended or marketed primarily for use by children such as Products with designs on their exterior surface which are affiliated with children's toys or entertainment (e.g.,

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS.

3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), Defendants shall pay \$38,000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment shall be made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Russell Brimer," and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before September 1, 2005 at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

- (a) In the event that Defendants pay any penalty and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any penalty funds paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Defendants following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.
- (b) The Parties agree that Defendants' potential interest in and ability to acquire and market Reformulated Products is to be accounted for in this section and, since it is not a remedy provided for by law, the absence of Defendants previously acquiring, manufacturing, marketing or selling Reformulated Products is not relevant to the establishment of a penalty amount pursuant to section 3.1 above.
- (c) Apportionment of Penalties Received. After Court approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to section 6, all penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Plaintiff as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Plaintiff shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby

leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, Defendants shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendants shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$68,700 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before September 1, 2005 at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

In the event that Defendants pay any attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs and the Consent Judgment is not thereafter approved and entered by the Court, Brimer shall return any attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs paid under this agreement within fifteen (15) days of receipt of a written request from Defendants following notice of the issuance of the Court's decision.

4.2 Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Plaintiff's Release of Defendants. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors

obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants and each of their downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq., related to Defendants' or Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Defendants or their attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200 and 17500 by Defendants. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or

participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including,

without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands,

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code §§17500 et seq., that has been or could have been asserted in the Complaints against Defendants for their alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Defendants comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Defendants and the Defendant Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65,

Business and Professions Code §§17200 et. seq. and Business & Professions Code §§17500 et seq., as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products.

5.2 Defendants' Release of Plaintiff. Defendants waive all right to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code §§17500 et seq. in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. DEFENDANTS' SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data provided to counsel for Brimer by WELLS MFG. USA INC.; WELLS MFG. INC.; AND THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY was a material factor upon which Brimer has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) in this Consent Judgment. To the best of DEFENDANTS' knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate.

8. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment other than section 5.1 hereof are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Defendants shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

11. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the following addresses. (Either Party, from time to time, may, pursuant to the methods prescribed above, specify a change of address to which all future notices and other communications shall be sent.)

To WELLS MFG. USA INC.; and WELLS MFG. INC:

Jackson You, President WELLS MFG. USA INC. 9698 Telstar Ave. Unit #312 El Monte, CA 91731

With a copy to:

Gary A. Meyer, Esq.
PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARA & SAMUELIAN
333 South Hope St., 27th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1488

To THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY:

THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY 611 Olive Street
St. Louis, MO 63101
Attn: General Counsel

With a copy to:

28

25

26

Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq. Rachel D. Stanger, Esq. FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2 555 S. Flower Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 3 4 To Plaintiff: 5 Laralei S. Paras, Esq.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PARAS LAW GROUP 655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216 Mill Valley, CA 94941

Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES 12.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f) 13.

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within five (5) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 14.

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which

Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date.

Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, *inter alia*, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

15. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified, including pursuant to section 2.3(e) above, only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO: AGREED TO:

Date: Date:

By: By:

Plaintiff Russell Brimer Defendants WELLS MFG. USA INC.; and WELLS MFG. INC.

Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date. Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

MODIFICATION 15.

This Consent Judgment may be modified, including pursuant to section 2.3(e) above, only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

16. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: 8 23 -55

Date:

By:

Plaintiff Russell Brimer

Defendants WELLS MFG. USA INC.; and WELLS MFG. INC.

27

25

26

Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare, within a roasonable period of time after the Execution Date. Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, interulia, set forth support for the feet and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to relimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon. MODIFICATION

15.

١

3

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This Consent Judgment may be modified, including pursuant to section 2.3(c) above, only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION 16.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

Date:

Date: 8/22/05

By: 1 / America

By:

Defendants WELL'S MFG, USA INC.; and WELLS MFG, INC

Plaintiff Russell Brimer

26

27

18

AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE COMERT

| 1 | | AGREED TO: |
|----------|---|---|
| 2 3 | | Date: |
| 4 5 | | By: |
| 6 | | Defendant THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 8 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 10 | Date: Jugart 25, 2005 | Date: |
| 11 | PARAS LAW GROUP | PARKER, MILLIKEN, CLARK, O'HARA & SAMUELIAN |
| 12 | By: Julyanas | D |
| 13 | Latalei S. Paras, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER | By: Gary A. Meyer, Esq. |
| 15 16 | RUSSELL BRIMER | Attorney for Defendants WELLS MFG. USA INC.; WELLS MFG. INC., THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 17 | | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 18 | · | Date: |
| 20 | | FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P |
| 21 | | Ву: |
| 22 | | Rachel D. Stanger Attorney for Defendants |
| 24 | | THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 26 27 | | |
| 28 | Date: | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| | | 16 |

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435221 sf-1835732

| | AUG 2 2 2005 | AGREED TO: |
|----------|----------------------|---|
| <u>'</u> | AUD E L LOUE | |
| 2 | | Date: 8/25/0 < |
| 3 | | Rv. 17 R |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | Defendant THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 7 | ; | |
| 8 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 9 | | , |
| 10 | Date: | Date: |
| 11 | PARAS LAW GROUP | Parker, Milliken, Clark, O'Hara & Samuelian |
| 12 | By: | |
| 13 | 4 . | Ву: |
| 14 | RUSSELL BRIMER | Gary A. Meyer, Esq. Attorney for Defendants WELLS MFG. USA INC.; WELLS MFG. INC., THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES |
| 16 | 1 | COMPANY |
| ľ. | N N | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| ł | 8 | Date: August 19, ZOUE |
| ì | 9 | |
| 2 | 20 | FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P |
| ; | 21 | By: John By: |
| ! | 22 | , 200 |
| | 23 | Rachel D. Stanger Adomey for Defendants THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| | 24 | |
| | 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| | 26 | |
| | 27 Dute: | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| | 28 | 10000 of 1500 par magaz agent |

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435221 sf-1835732

| 1 | | AGREED TO: |
|---------------|--|---|
| 3 | | Date: |
| 4 5 | | Ву: |
| 6 | | Defendant THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 8 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 10 | Date: | Date: 8/23/05 |
| 11 | PARAS LAW GROUP | Parker, milliken, clark, oʻhara & Samuelian |
| 12 13 | By: Laralei S. Paras, Esq. | By: Lay a. Meyer/by Many Anderson |
| 14 15 | Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER | Gary A. Meyer, Esq. Attorney for Defendants WELLS MFG. USA INC.; WELLS MFG. INC., |
| 16 | | THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 17 18 | | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 19 | | Date: |
| 20 21 | | FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P |
| 22 | | Ву: |
| 23 | | Rachel D. Stanger Attorney for Defendants THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 24 25 | | THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY |
| 26 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 27 28 | Date: | JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT |
| 28 | | 16 |

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CONSENT Case No. CGC-04-435221 sf-1835732

Exhibit A

Wine glasses, goblets and other glassware intended for consumption of food and/or beverages with colored artwork or designs on the exterior.

EXHIBIT B

Combined Point of Sale Warnings [Yellow Triangle]

PROP 65 WARNING

Consuming foods or beverages that have been kept or served in leaded crystal products, or in certain ceramic tableware products, or handling products made of leaded crystal or certain glassware products with colored decorations on the exterior, will expose you to lead and/or cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The products for which this warning is given are identified with this symbol:



displayed on or next to the product

EXHIBIT C

Combined Point of Sale Warnings

PROP 65 WARNING

Use of the following ceramic tableware products will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm:

[List each manufacturer and pattern name for which a warning is given.]

The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products sold in this store contain lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm:

[List each manufacturer and pattern name for which a warning is given.]

Consuming foods or beverages that have been kept or served in leaded crystal products or handling products made of leaded crystal will expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

[If any of the following products are sold, include: "This warning does not apply to Baccarat decanters, flacons, stoppered pitchers, mustard and jam pots."]

ì

__

| 1 | Laralei S. Paras (State Bar No. 203319) Daniel Bornstein (State Bar No. 181711) | ENDORSED F L E D | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | PARAS LAW GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 | San Francisco County Superior Court | |
| 3 | Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 | JAN 1 2 2006 | |
| 4 | Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 | GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk BY: ERICKA LARNAUTI | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | Deputy Clerk | |
| 6 | RUSSELL BRIMER | | |
| 7 | Gary A. Meyer (State Bar No. 94144) Mary E. Henderson (State Bar 235256) | | |
| 8 | PARKER MILLIKEN CLARK O'HARA & SAMUELIAN 333 S. Hope Street, 27 th Floor | | |
| 9 | Los Angeles, CA 90071 | | |
| 10 | Tel: (213) 683-6683 Fax: (213) 683-6669 | | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Defendant WELLS MANUFACTURING USA, INC. | | |
| 12 | Jeffrey B. Margulies (State Bar No. 126002) | | |
| 13 | Rachel D. Stanger (State Bar No. 200733) | | |
| 14 | FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor | | |
| | Los Angeles, CA 90071 Tel: (213) 892-9200 | | |
| 15 | Fax: (213) 892-9494 | | |
| 16 | Attorneys for Defendant | | |
| 17 | MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 20 | CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO | - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION | |
| 21 | RUSSELL BRIMER, | Case No. CGC 04-435221 | |
| 22 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 23 | v. | | |
| 24 | WELLS MFG. USA, INC.; WELLS MFG INC.; | [PROPOSED] J UDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF | |
| 25 | ROBINSONS-MAY DEPARTMENT STORE; THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY; and DOES 1 through 150, | CONSENT JUDGMENT | |
| 26 | | Date: January 12, 2006 | |
| 27 | Defendants. | Time: 9:30 A.M. | |
| 28 | | Dept.: 301 Judge: Hon. James L. Warren | |
| 20 | | | |

| 1 |
|----|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |

28

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants WELLS MANUFACTURING USA, INC. and THE MAY DEPARTMENT STORES COMPANY, having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on January 12, 2006.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 12, 2006

JAMES L. WARREN

Hon. James L. Warren
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT